



Interview Summary

Application No. **09/973,021** 

Examiner

Applicant(s)

William Sandals

Group Art Unit

1636

Jensen et al.



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) William Sandals	(3) Peter Koefed
(2) <u>Pamela Ancona, Esq.</u>	(4)
Date of Interview Nov 19, 2001	<del>_</del>
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	
Claim(s) discussed: In general, all.	
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 95/04824	
we discussed the status of the application. I indicated the rejection under USC 103. It was agreed that further discussional mailed.	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or at I will be reopenning prosecution, presenting a new grounds for ussion would be tabled until after the new office action has been
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepundence of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims of copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is earate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST IPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE bord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.